Response to Office Action dated: June 10, 2009

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the

application.

Listing of Claims:

1. (currently amended) A method of detecting whether a candidate polypeptide

including a target epitope is in i) a wildtype conformation or ii) an aggregated or

misfoldednon wildtype conformation in a sample, comprising:

contacting the polypeptide with a blockingchemical modifying agent that

chemically reacts with and selectively blocks accessible target epitope, wherein

in the wildtype conformation, the target epitope is accessible and reacts with the blocking agent, and wherein in the aggregated or misfoldednen-wildtype

conformation, the target epitope is inaccessible and the target epitope cannot

react with the blocking agent;

removing the unreacted blockingchemical modifying agent from contact with the

polypeptide;

modifyingdisaggregating or denaturing the candidate polypeptide to convert any

inaccessible target epitope to accessible target epitope.

contacting the polypeptide with a<u>n aptamer or antibody</u>detection agent that binds

selectively to the target epitope that was converted from inaccessible target epitope to accessible target epitope, wherein binding between detection agent the

aptamer or antibody and converted target epitope indicates that the candidate

polypeptide was in an aggregated or misfoldednen-wildtype conformation and

wherein lack of binding between the detection agentaptamer or antibody and the

target epitope indicates that the polypeptide was in a wildtype conformation in the

sample.

Response to Office Action dated: June 10, 2009

(currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the candidate polypeptide comprises prion protein, the wild type conformation comprises the conformation of wild

type prion protein and the non-wildtypeaggregated or misfolded conformation comprises

the conformation of PrPSc.

3. (withdrawn) The method of claim 1, wherein the candidate polypeptide comprises

beta-amyloid polypeptide, tau protein or APP protein.

4. (withdrawn) The method of claim 1, wherein the candidate polypeptide comprises

SOD1.

5. (withdrawn) The method of claim 1, wherein the candidate polypeptide comprises

alpha-synuclein.

6. (withdrawn) The method of claim 1 wherein the candidate polypeptide comprises

huntingtin protein.

7. (withdrawn) The method of claim 1, wherein the candidate polypeptide comprises

p53.

8. (withdrawn) The method of claim 1, wherein the candidate polypeptide comprises

islet amyloid polypeptide or resistin.

9. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the blockingchemical

<u>modifying</u> agent is selected from the group consisting of peroxynitrite, hydrogen peroxide, methylene compounds, succinic anhydride, epoxides, diethyl pyrocarbonate.

4-hvdroxvnonenal (4HNE) and diazirine.

10. (cancelled)

Response dated: October 9, 2009

Response to Office Action dated: June 10, 2009

11. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the polypeptide is

denatured by heat and/or detergent and/or chaotropic agents.

12. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the polypeptide is

modified disaggregated by treatment with a disaggregation agent to disaggregate the

polypeptide from the aggregated polypeptides.

13. (original) The method of claim 12, wherein the disaggregation agent is selected

from at least one of the group consisting of chaotropic agents, detergent and heat.

14. (original) The method of claim 13, wherein the detergent comprises SDS.

15. (cancelled)

16. (currently amended) The method of claim 15, wherein the aptamer or antibody is

directed against a prion polypeptide epitope.

17. (previously presented) The method of claim 16, wherein the antibody comprises

the antibody designated as 6H4 or the antibody designated as 3F4.

18. (withdrawn) The method of claim 15, wherein the aptamer or antibody is directed

against an amyloid beta epitope.

19. (withdrawn) The method of claim 16, wherein the antibody comprises 6E10 or

4G8.

20. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the aggregated non-wildtype

conformation is indicative of a disease caused by protein aggregation.

21. (original) The method of claim 20, wherein the disease comprises prion disease.

Response dated: October 9, 2009

Response to Office Action dated: June 10, 2009

22. (original) The method of claim 20, wherein the disease comprises BSE or CJD.

23. (withdrawn) The method of claim 20, wherein the disease comprises Alzheimer's

disease.

24. (withdrawn) The method of claim 20, wherein the disease comprises Parkinson's

disease or Lewy body disease.

25. (withdrawn) The method of claim 20, wherein the disease comprises Huntington's

disease.

26. (withdrawn) The method of claim 20, wherein the disease comprises amyotrophic

lateral sclerosis.

27. (withdrawn) The method of claim 20, wherein the disease comprises cancer.

28. (cancelled)

29. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein prior to contacting the

blocking chemical modifying agent with the candidate polypeptide, the target epitope is

mapped.

30. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the polypeptide is in a

postmortem or antemortem sample selected from the group consisting of CSF, serum,

blood, urine, biopsy sample and brain tissue.

31. (withdrawn) A kit for detecting whether a candidate polypeptide including a target

epitope is in i) a wildtype conformation or ii) a non-wildtype conformation, comprising a detecting agent that recognizes the target epitope and instructions for at least one of i)

mapping a target epitope, ii) contacting a candidate polypeptide with a blocking agent.

and iii) contacting a candidate polypeptide with a detecting agent.

Response dated: October 9, 2009

Response to Office Action dated: June 10, 2009

 (withdrawn) The kit of claim 31 wherein the detecting agent comprises an aptamer or an antibody.

aptamer or an antibody.

33. (withdrawn) The kit of claim 32 wherein the antibody comprises 6H4, 3F4, 6E10

or 4G8, optionally immobilized to a solid support.

34. (withdrawn) The kit of claim 31, further comprising buffers and reagents for

ELISA, including sandwhich ELISA, fluorescent ELISA.

35. (withdrawn) The kit of claim 31 further comprising a blocking agent.

36. (withdrawn) The kit of claim 31 further comprising a denaturing agent selected

from at least one of the group of detergents and chaotropic agents.

37. (withdrawn) The kit of claim 31, further comprising a polypeptide standard.

38. (withdrawn) The kit of claim 34, wherein the polypeptide standard comprises a

recombinant disease protein or a recombinant protein that mimics a disease protein.

39. (currently amended) A method of detecting whether a candidate polypeptide that

has been contacted with a <u>blockingchemical modifying</u> agent is i) a wildtype conformation or ii) an aggregated or misfoldednon-wildtype-conformation, wherein the

candidate polypeptide comprises at least one target epitope and, following contact with

the blockingchemical modifying agent and removal of the blockingchemical modifying

agent, the candidate polypeptide has been modifieddisaggregated or denatured to

convert any inaccessible target epitope to accessible target epitope, the method

comprising:

contacting the polypeptide with a<u>n aptamer or antibody</u>detection agent that binds

selectively to the target epitope that was converted from inaccessible target

epitope to accessible target epitope, wherein binding between $\underline{\text{the aptamer or}}$

Response to Office Action dated: June 10, 2009

antibodydetection—agent and converted target epitope indicates that the candidate polypeptide was in an aggregated or misfoldednon-wildtype conformation and wherein lack of binding between the aptamer or antibodydetection agent and the target epitope indicates that the polypeptide was in a wild type conformation.

40. (withdrawn) The method of claim 1, wherein the target epitope is within the superoxide dismutase 1 polypeptide and the target epitope comprises all or part of the following amino acid sequences:

Gln Lys Glu Ser Asn Gly (SEQ ID NO:4);

Glu Asp Asn Thr Ala Glv Cvs Thr Ser Ala (SEQ ID NO:5):

Pro Lys Asp Glu Glu Arg His Val (SEQ ID NO:6);

Ala Asp Lys Asp Gly (SEQ ID NO:7);

Gly Lys Gly Gly Asn Glu Gln Ser Thr Lys (SEQ ID NO:8);

Asp Leu Gly Lys Gly Gly Asn Glu Glu Ser Thr Lys Thr Gly Asn Ala Gly Ser (SEQ ID NO:9); or

Asn Pro Leu Ser Arg Lys His Gly Gly Pro Lys Asp Glu Glu (SEQ ID NO:10).

- 41. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein binding between the detection agentaptamer or antibody and the converted target epitope is detected using dissociation enhanced lanthanide fluoroimmunoassay and time-resolved fluorescence.
- 42. (withdrawn) An isolated polypeptide consisting of the amino acid sequence Asp Leu Gly Lys Gly Gly Asn Glu Glu Ser Thr Lys Thr Gly Asn Ala Gly Ser (SEQ ID NO:9).
- 43. (withdrawn) An isolated polypeptide consisting of the amino acid sequence Asn Pro Leu Ser Arg Lys His Gly Gly Pro Lys Asp Glu Glu (SEQ ID NO:10).
- 44. (withdrawn) A method of making an antibody specific for the isolated polypeptide according to claim 42.

Response to Office Action dated: June 10, 2009

45. (withdrawn) A method of making an antibody specific for the isolated polypeptide according to claim 43.

46. (withdrawn) An antibody specific for an epitope comprising an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of:

Asp Leu Gly Lys Gly Gly Asn Glu Glu Ser Thr Lys Thr Gly Asn Ala Gly Ser (SEQ ID NO:9); and

Asn Pro Leu Ser Arg Lys His Gly Gly Pro Lys Asp Glu Glu (SEQ ID NO:10).

47. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the target epitope is inaccessible because the candidate polypeptide is aggregated.

48. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the target epitope is inaccessible because the candidate polypeptide is in an different conformation as compared to the wildtype conformationmisfolded.

49. (currently amended) A method of detecting whether a candidate polypeptide including a target epitope is in i) a wildtype conformation or ii) an aggregated or misfoldednon-wildtype conformation, comprising:

contacting the polypeptide with a blockingchemical modifying agent that chemically reacts with and selectively blocks accessible target epitope, wherein in the aggregated or misfoldednen-wildtype conformation, the target epitope is accessible and reacts with the chemical modifyingblocking agent, and wherein in the wildtype conformation, the target epitope is inaccessible and the target epitope cannot react with the chemical modifyingblocking agent;

removing unreacted <u>chemical modifying</u> blockingagent from contact with the polypeptide;

Response dated: October 9, 2009

Response to Office Action dated: June 10, 2009

modifyingdisaggregating or denaturing the candidate polypeptide to convert any inaccessible target epitope to accessible target epitope; and

contacting the polypeptide with an aptamer or antibody detection agentthat binds selectively to the target epitope that was converted from inaccessible target epitope to accessible target epitope, wherein binding between the aptamer or antibody detection—agentand converted target epitope indicates that the candidate polypeptide was in a wildtype conformation and wherein lack of binding between the aptamer or antibody detection—agentand the target epitope indicates that the polypeptide was in an aggregated or misfolded non-wildtypeconformation.

- 50. (withdrawn) The method of claim 20, wherein the disease comprises diabetes.
- 51. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein prior to contacting the blockingchemical modifying agent with the candidate polypeptide, the candidate polypeptide is in a sample that ispretreated by one or more of the following methods: adsorption, precipitation, or centrifugation.
- 52. (New) The method of claim 1 wherein the chemical modifying agent chemically reacts with and selectively blocks the target epitope recognized by the antibody designated as 3F4 or the target epitope recognized by the antibody designated as 6H4.
- 53. (New) The method of claim 1 wherein the chemical modifying agent covalently reacts with the target epitope.
- 54. (New) The method of claim 11 where the chaotropic agent is selected from guanidine salts, urea or thiourea.
- 55. (New) The method of claim 13 wherein the chaotropic agent is selected from guanidine salts, urea or thiourea.

Response to Office Action dated: June 10, 2009

56. (New) A method of detecting whether a sample contains prion polypeptide (PrP)

in a i) wildtype or ii) aggregated conformation, comprising:

contacting polypeptide in the sample with peroxynitrite to block accessible target

epitope on the PrP, wherein in the wildtype conformation, the target epitope is

accessible and reacts with the peroxynitrite, and wherein in the aggregated conformation, the target epitope is inaccessible and the target epitope cannot

react with the peroxynitrite;

removing unreacted peroxynitrite from contact with the PrP:

disaggregating or denaturing the PrP to convert any inaccessible target epitope

to accessible target epitope; and

contacting the sample with antibody that binds selectively to the target epitope

that was converted from inaccessible target epitope to accessible target epitope,

wherein binding between the antibody and the converted target epitope indicates

that the PrP was in an aggregated conformation and wherein lack of binding there between indicates that the PrP was in a wildtype conformation.